51 7/19/02

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

66.84 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SITUATE IN SOUTH NEWTON AND SOUTHAMPTON TOWNSHIPS, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; TUMBLING RUN GAME PRESERVE; UNKNOWN OWNERS; and LAWRENCE J. KEATING, ESQUIRE,

**Defendants** 

**CIVIL NO. 1:CV-00-1763** 

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

JUL 1 9 2002

MARY E. DANGE, CLERK

## ORDER

Before the court is Plaintiff's motion *in limine* to preclude evidence (1) of the Secretary's site selection process; (2) of Defendants' proposed alternative sites; (3) of settlement discussions and proposals; (4) of pre-suit negotiations; and (5) related to any issue resolved by this court's order dated June 14, 2001. A supporting brief, a responsive brief and a reply brief have been filed and the matter is ripe for disposition.

On May 18, 2001, the magistrate judge issued a report recommending that Tumbling Run Game Preserve's ("TRGP") affirmative defenses be stricken and its counterclaim be dismissed. This court adopted the report and recommendation by order dated June 14, 2001. TRGP now claims that the report and

recommendation did not address two issues: (1) whether the National Park Service gave full consideration to minimizing the adverse effects upon TRGP; and (2) whether the amount of land was reasonably necessary to provide passage.

Defendant's brief is totally void of any case law to support its position. In any event, it is this court's opinion that the order of June 14, 2001 struck all affirmative defenses. The only issue remaining for trial is just compensation.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1) This court adopts the reasoning of Plaintiff's brief in support of its motion in limine and its reply brief;
  - 2) Plaintiff's motion in limine is **GRANTED.**
  - 2) The only issue remaining for trial is just compensation.

SYLVIA H. RAMBO United States District Judge

Dated: July 19, 2002.